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Inhibitors of porcine pancreatic elastase based on one of the repeating peptide sequences (Gly-Val-Gly-Val-Ala) present in elastin have been prepared. Most of these contain an $\alpha$-aza-amino acid benzyl ester group at the C-terminus and an $N-[(1$-methoxycarbonylalkyl)carbamoyl]- or an $N-[(1$-carboxyalkyl)-carbamoyl]-group at the $N$-terminus. The most potent analogue of the series, $N$ - [(1-carboxyethyl)-carbamoyl]-valylglycyl- $\alpha$-aza-alanine benzyl ester (53) was ca. 60 -fold more potent than one of the azapeptide inhibitors of elastase (Ac-Ala-Ala-Azala-ONp) reported earlier.

Various forms of elastase (e.g. pancreatic, ${ }^{1}$ leucocytic, ${ }^{2}$ macrophagic ${ }^{3}$ ) have been implicated in the pathogenesis of pulmonary emphysema, atherosclerosis, arthritis, and pancreatitis, etc. ${ }^{4.5}$ In order to investigate the role of this enzyme in these disorders we have prepared inhibitors of pancreatic and leucocytic elastase, and our results on porcine pancreatic elastase inhibitors are reported here.
The active site of elastase which cleaves the peptide bonds formed by the carboxyl groups of small aliphatic side-chain containing amino acids extends over several subsites. ${ }^{6,7}$ The nomenclature used by Schechter and Berger ${ }^{8}$ to identify these subsites ( P and $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ ) has been used throughout this paper.

Known substrates and inhibitors of elastase are based on L-alanine containing oligopeptides. Acetyl-(Ala) ${ }_{3}$ - OMe and (Ala) ${ }_{5}$-Lys-Phe have been shown to be good substrates for elastase, ${ }^{6.7}$ whereas peptides containing an $\alpha$-aza-amino acid, ${ }^{9.10}$ a C-terminal chloromethyl ketone, ${ }^{11,12}$ or an aminoaldehyde ${ }^{13}$ residue at the C -terminus were reported to be inhibitors of elastase. Some other di- and tri-peptide derivatives have also been shown to be potent inhibitors of elastase. ${ }^{14,15}$

Since elastin is the physiological substrate for elastase, it occurred to us that inhibitors of elastase based on the amino acid sequences present in elastin itself might be more potent and selective, if the sequences which are recognised by the enzyme could be identified. An examination of the amino acid sequences of bovine elastin, porcine tropoelastin, and bovine ligamentum nuchae elastin revealed the presence of two types of sequences which were repeated several times within the primary structures of these elastin fragments. ${ }^{5.16 .17}$ In some of these repeating sequences, e.g. Gly-Val-Gly-Val, Gly-Val-Gly-Leu, and Gly-Ile-Gly-Val, the glycine residues alternate with hydrophobic amino acids, whereas in some other, e.g. Val-Gly-Gly-Val, Val-Gly-Gly-Leu, Val-Gly-Gly-Ile, the hydrophobic amino acids were separated by two glycine residues. We hypothesised that these repeating sequences could be significant with respect to the overall conformation of the elastin molecule and may also be responsible for the binding of the natural substrate (elastin) with enzyme (elastase).
All of the work reported here is based on the Gly-Val-Gly-Val sequence. Since elastase has an extended binding site and cleaves peptide bonds formed by the carboxyl groups of the hydrophobic aliphatic amino acid residues, the repeating sequences may bind with the enzyme in the following manner.

On the basis of this assumption, $\alpha$-aza-amino acid residues have been incorporated into the peptides in place of the Val or Ala which we believe may occupy the $P_{1}$ position. The residues

occupying various other positions ( $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{P}_{4}$ ) have also been modified in the hope of obtaining inhibitors of elastase.

Before embarking on the synthesis of analogues, Gly-Val-Gly-Val-OBzl, Boc-Gly-Val-Gly-Val-OBzl, and Boc-Pro-Gly-Val-Gly-Val-OBzl were synthesised and compared with Boc-Ala-Ala-Ala-OBzl as inhibitors of porcine pancreatic elastase. All of these compounds resulted in $65-75 \%$ inhibition of elastase at $400 \mu \mathrm{M}$, thus indicating that inhibitors of elastase based on the Gly-Val-Gly-Val peptide sequence could be at least as potent as the inhibitors which are based on the sequence Ala-Ala-Ala.

The analogous reported here are listed in Table 1. The $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ modifications include a formyl group, Lac-OEt, Lac- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$, Ala-OBzl, Phe-OMe, Phe-OBzl, phenyl ester, benzyl ester, and a number of other aromatic or aliphatic esters. The Lac-OEt and Lac- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ residues were earlier incorporated into the $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ position and the resulting compounds shown to be potent inhibitors of elastase. ${ }^{10}$ The $P_{1}$ position changes include a number of $\alpha$-aza-amino acid residues (Azgly, Azala, Azval, Aznva, Azile). The $P_{2}$ position has been modified by incorporating Gly, Ala, Val, and Pro residues, but the $P_{3}$ position is occupied by Val in all the analogues. The $P_{4}$ position has been substituted by $N-[(1-$ methoxycarbonyl-X)carbamoyl $]-, N$ -[(1-carboxyalkyl-X)carbamoyl]-, $N$-[(1-ethoxycarbonylethyl)-oxycarbonyl]-, or a number of other acyl groups. The $N$ -[(1-carboxyalkyl-3-methylbutyl)carbamoyl]- group is located at the $N$-terminus of elastatinal, a naturally occurring inhibitor of elastase. ${ }^{18}$ For comparison purposes some analogues based on the sequences (Ala) $)_{n}$-Azala-, -Ala-Pro-Azala-, and -Ala-Pro-Azala-Lac-, already reported as inhibitors of elastase,, ${ }^{9.10}$ have also been synthesized.

Synthesis.-All of the peptides reported here are listed in Table 1. The compounds have been arranged in the table in such a way that the analogues modified at position $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ are listed first, followed by those modified at positions $\mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{P}_{4}$.

Compounds (1)-(3) were prepared via a stepwise coupling procedure starting from valine benzyl ester. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{t}$-Butoxycarbonyl protected amino acid derivatives were coupled using the $\mathrm{DCCl}-$

Table 1. Structures of peptides and inhibition of porcine pancreatic elastase by these peptides

| Compd. | Inhibition of pancreatic elastase $\mathrm{IC}_{50} / \mu \mathrm{m}$ (\%Inhibition) |  | Compd. | Inhibition of pancreatic elastase $\mathrm{IC}_{50} / \mu \mathrm{M}$ (\%Inhibition) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) Gly-Val-Gly-Val-OBzl | 400 (76.5) |  | Boc-Pro-Ala-Pro-X-OBzl |  |
| (2) Boc-Gly-Val-Gly-Val-OBzl | 400 (71.2) |  | $X=-A z a l a-$ | 42 |
| (3) Boc-Pro-Gly-Val-Gly-Val-OBzl | 400 (65.4) | (41) | -Azval- | 224 |
| (4) Boc-Ala-Ala-Ala-OBzl | 400 (76.3) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{HO}_{2} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Me}_{2}\right) \text {-NH-CO-Ala-Pro- } \\ & \text { X-OBzl } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Modifications at the $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ postion |  | (42) | $X=-$ Azala- | 22.5 |
| Boc-Val-Gly-X |  | (43) | -Azval- | 57.8 |
| (5) $\mathrm{X}=-\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{Me}$ | $>400$ | Modifications at the $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ position |  |  |
| (6) $\quad-\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Me})-\mathrm{CHO}$ | $>400$ |  |  |  |
| (7) -Azala-OBzl | 66.0 | Boc-Val-X-Azala-OBzl |  |  |
| (8) -Azala-Lac-OEt | $>400$ |  | $X=-$ Gly | 66.0 |
| Boc-Gly-Val-Gly-X |  | (44) | -Ala- | 27.0 |
| (9) $\mathrm{X}=-\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{NHMe}$ | $>400$ | (45) | -Pro- | 0.4 |
| (10) -Azala-OBzl | 146 | (46) | -Val- | 61.2 |
| (11) -Azala-Lac-OEt | $>400$ | Boc-Ala-X-Azala-OBzl |  |  |
| (12) -Azala-Phe-OBzl | $>400$ | (35) | $\mathrm{X}=$-Ala- | 13.2 |
| Boc-Ala-Pro-X |  | (14) | -Pro- | 14.0 |
| (13) $\mathrm{X}=-\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{Me}$ | $>400$ | $\mathrm{MeOCO}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right)$-NH-CO-Val-X-Azala- |  |  |
| (14) -Azala-OBzl | 14.0 |  | OBzl |  |
| (15) -Azala-Lac-OEt | 23.0 | (22) | X $=-$ Gly - | 3.3 |
| (16) -Azala-Phe-OBzl | $>400$ | (47) | -Ala- | 0.12 |
| Boc-Pro-Ala-Pro-X |  | (48) | -Pro- | 1.24 |
| (17) $\mathrm{X}=$-Azala-Lac-OEt | 23.0 | (49) | -Val- | 1.28 |
| (18) -Azala-Lac- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 22.8 | Modifications at the $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ position |  |  |
| $\mathrm{MeOCO}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right)$-NH-CO-Val-Gly-Azala-X |  | X-Val-Gly-Azala-OBzl |  |  |
| (19) $\mathrm{X}=-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{2}-p$ | - 3.4 |  | $\mathrm{X}=\underset{\mathrm{Boc}-}{ } \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}-$ | 66.0 13.1 |
| (20) $\quad-\mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{2}-p$ | $>400$ |  | $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CO}-$ | 13.1 6.7 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { (21) } & -\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{2}-p \\ \text { (22) } & -\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\end{array}$ | $>400$ | (51) $(52)$ | MeOCO-CH(Me)-NH-CO- | 6.7 15.4 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { (22) } & -\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \\ \text { (23) } & -\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\end{array}$ | 3.3 | (53) | $\mathrm{HO}_{2} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me})-\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CO}-$ | 15.4 0.1 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { (23) } & -\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \\ \text { (24) } & -\mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\end{array}$ | $>400$ | (53) (5) | MeOCO-CH(CHMe ${ }_{2}$ ) $\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CO}-$ | 13.4 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { (24) } & -\mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \\ \text { (25) } & -\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\end{array}$ | 0.4 $>400$ | (55) | $\mathrm{HO}_{2} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right) \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CO}-$ | 1.2 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { (25) } & -\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \\ \text { (26) } & -\mathrm{NHC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\end{array}$ | $>400$ $>400$ | (22) | $\mathrm{MeOCO}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right) \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CO}-$ | 3.3 |
| (27) $-\quad-\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $>400$ $>400$ | (56) | $\mathrm{HO}_{2} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right) \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CO}-$ | 4.2 |
| (28) ${ }^{\text {(2) }}$-Thienyl | $>400$ $>400$ | (57) | $\mathrm{MeOCO}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right) \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CO}-$ | 98.4 |
| (29) $\mathrm{OBu}^{i}$ | 2.4 | (58) | $\mathrm{HO}_{2} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right) \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CO}-$ | 28.4 |
| (30) -Phe-OMe | $10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ (67.9) | (59) | $\mathrm{MeOCO}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right) \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CO}-$ | 29.3 |
| (31) -Ala-OBzl | $10^{-3} \mathrm{M}(100)$ | (60) | $\mathrm{HO}_{2} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right) \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CO}-$ | 2.7 |
|  | $10^{-100)}$ | (61) | $\mathrm{EtOCO}-\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{OCO}$ | $>400$ |
|  |  | (62) | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}-$ | 57.1 |
|  |  | (63) | $\mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{CO}-$ | 7.0 |
| Modifications at the $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ position |  | (64) | Bu'CO- | 13.1 |
|  |  | (65) | $\mathrm{Bu}{ }^{\text {c }} \mathrm{CO}-$ | 6.7 |
| (32) Xoc -Val-Gly-X-OBzl |  | (66) | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ - | 2.4 |
| (32) $\mathrm{X}=$ - Azgly - | $>400$ | (67) | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{SO}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | $>400$ |
| (7) -Azala- | 66.0 8.9 | (68) | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OCO}-$ | $>400$ |
| (33) -Aznva- | 8.9 25.8 | X-Ala-Pro-Azala-OBzl |  |  |
| Boc-Ala-Ala-X-OBzl |  | (14) $\mathrm{X}=$ Boc- |  | 14 |
|  |  | (69) | ) $\mathrm{MeOCO}-\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CO}-$ | 14 |
| (35) $\mathrm{X}=$-Azala- | 13.2 | (70) | ) $\mathrm{MeOCO}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right) \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CO}-$ | 12 |
| (36) -Aznva- | 4.1 | (42) | $\mathrm{HO}_{2} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right) \mathrm{NHCO}-$ | 22.5 |
| (37) -Azile- | 27.2 | (71) | $\mathrm{MeOCO}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right) \mathrm{NHCO}-$ | 1.1 |
| Boc-Pro-Ala-Pro-X-OBzl |  | (72) | ) $\mathrm{MeOCO}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right) \mathrm{NHCO}-$ | 3.4 |
|  | 14.0 | (73) | $\mathrm{HO}_{2} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}-$ | 42 |
| (38) -Azval- | 122.1 | (74) | EtOCO-CH(Me)O-CO- | 18 |
| (39) -Aznva- | 155.5 | (75) <br> (76) | ) $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{HO}_{2} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CO}- \\ & \mathrm{MeCO}-\end{aligned}$ | 22 |

HOBt method ${ }^{19}$ and the $t$-butoxycarbonyl group at each step was removed by treatment with HCl in ethyl acetate. Boc-Ala-Ala-Ala-OBzl ${ }^{20}$ (4) was prepared by a similar route starting from alanine benzyl ester.

The compounds modified in position $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime}(5)-(\mathbf{1 8})$ were prepared as shown in the Scheme. Boc-Val-Gly-OH, Boc-Gly-Val-Gly-OH, Boc-Ala-Pro-OH, ${ }^{21-23}$ or Boc-Pro-Ala-Pro-OH, ${ }^{23}$

Val-X-Azala-OBzl (X = Ala, Pro, or Val) with $N$-carbonyl leucine methyl ester.

The analogues modified in position $\mathrm{P}_{4},(\mathbf{5 0})$-(76) were prepared by treating either Val-Gly-Azala-OBzl [(50)-(68)] or Ala-Pro-Azala-OBzl [(69)-(76)] with the appropriate acid chloride, sulphonyl chloride, anhydride, chloroformate, or isocyanate to give $N-\operatorname{acyl}[(50),(51),(62-66),(68),(76)]$, penta-


Scheme. Synthesis of $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ modified compounds (5)-(18). Reagents: i, $\mathrm{ClCO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$; ii, N -methylmorpholine; iii, $\mathrm{H}_{2} / 5 \% \mathrm{Pd}$ - C ; iv, N -formylimidazole; $\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{NCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Bzl}$; vi, $\mathrm{ClOCOCH}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$; vii, Azala-Lac-OEt; viii, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$; $\mathrm{X}=$-Val-Gly-for (5)-(8); -Gly-Val-Gly-for (9)-(12); -Ala-Pro- for (13)-(16); and Pro Ala-Pro-for (17) and (18). $\mathrm{Lac}=-\mathrm{OCH}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{CO}-$
were coupled with benzyl 2-methylcarbazate ${ }^{24}$ by the mixed carbonic anhydride method to give the analogues incorporating an $\alpha$-aza-alanine benzyl ester residue at the C -terminus (7), (10), and (14). The removal of the ester group by catalytic hydrogenolysis ( $5 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ) gave the 2-methylhydrazide derivatives (5), (9), and (13) which on further reaction with $N$-formyl imidazole, $N$-carbonyl L-phenylalanine benzyl ester, or $\mathrm{ClOCOCH}(\mathrm{Me})$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}^{10}$ gave compounds (6), (12), (15), and (16). Compounds with Azala-Lac-OEt at the C-terminus [(8), (11), (17)] were prepared by coupling Boc-Val-Gly-OH, Boc-Gly-Val-Gly-OH, or Boc-Pro-Ala-Pro-OH with $\alpha$-Azala-Lac-OEt ${ }^{10}$ by the mixed anhydride method.
The remaining $P_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ position modified analogues (19)-(31) were prepared by treating $N$-[(1-methoxycarbonyl-3-methyl-butyl)carbamoyl]-Val-Gly-NHNHMe with the required acid chloride or the chloroformate. The 2-methylhydrazide derivative itself was prepared by treating Val-Gly-Azala-OBzl with $N$-carbonyl-L-leucine methyl ester and then removing the C-terminal benzyl ester group by catalytic hydrogenolysis.

The analogues modified in position $\mathrm{P}_{1}$, (32)-(41), were prepared by coupling Boc-Val-Gly-OH, Boc-Ala-Ala-OH, Boc-Ala-Pro-OH, or Boc-Pro-Ala-Pro-OH with benzyl carbazate, benzyl 2-methylcarbazate, benzyl 2-(1-methylethyl)carbazate, benzyl 2-propylcarbazate, or benzyl 2-(1-methylpropyl)carbazate by the mixed anhydride method to give compounds with an azaglycine, aza-alanine, azavaline, azanorvaline, or azaisoleucine residue, respectively, in position $\mathrm{P}_{1}$. Benzyl 2propylcarbazate was prepared by the route described earlier for the other substituted carbazates. ${ }^{24} \mathrm{~N}$-[(1-Carboxy-2-methyl-propyl)-carbamoyl]-Ala-Pro-Azala-OBzl (42) and the corresponding azavalyl analogue (43) were prepared by treating Ala-Pro-Azala-OBzl or Ala-Pro-Azval-OBzl with $N$-carbonyl valine methyl ester, followed by saponification.
The analogues modified in position $P_{2}$ (14), (35), and (44-46) were prepared by coupling Boc-Ala-Ala-OH, Boc-Ala-Pro-OH, Boc-Val-Ala-OH, Boc-Val-Val-OH, or Boc-Val-ProOH with benzyl 2-methylcarbazate by the mixed anhydride method. Analogues (47)-(49) were synthesized by treating
fluorophenylsulphonyl [(67)], succinyl [(73)], oxycarbonyl [(61), (74), (75)], or carbamoyl [(52-60), (69-72)] derivatives. The carboxyalkyl analogues were prepared from the corresponding methoxycarbonyl analogues by saponification.

## Results and Discussion

All of the analogues listed in Table 1 were tested as inhibitors of porcine pancreatic elastase using a synthetic substrate, succinyl-(L-Ala) $3_{3}$ - $p$-nitroanilide (Calbiochem). Initially the compounds were tested at $400 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration and the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values (concentration of the inhibitor producing $50 \%$ inhibition under the conditions of the assay) were then determined for the more active compounds. The potency of the compounds was compared with Ac-Ala-Ala-Azala-ONp ${ }^{9}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} 5.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}\right)$ and Ac-Ala-Ala-Pro-Ala- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}^{12}$ ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ).

For the purposes of this discussion we have assumed that the analogues reported here are interacting with the enzyme in a similar manner to other reported inhibitors of elastase and that the $\alpha$-aza-amino acid residue is located at $P_{1}$ postion.

Modifications at Position $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$.-In the Boc-Val-Gly-NHN(Me)R (5)-(8), or Boc-Gly-Val-Gly-NH-N(Me)R (9)-(12) series of analogues, a benzyl ester moiety in this position appears to be essential for inhibitory activity. The two benzyl ester analogues, Boc-Val-Gly-Azala-OBzl (7) and Boc-Gly-Val-Gly-Azala-OBzl (10), had $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 66 and $146 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. The compounds with no substituent at position $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ [(5) and (9)] or a formyl (6), Lac-OEt (8), (11), or Phe-OBzl (12) residue were inactive at $400 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

As above, in the Boc-Ala-Pro-NH-N(Me)R (13)-(16) and Boc-Pro-Ala-Pro-NH-N(Me)R $(\mathbf{1 7 , 1 8 )}$ ) series of compounds, the analogues with no $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ substituent (13) or with a Phe-OBzl (16) residue in this position were inactive and the benzyl ester analogue, Boc-Ala-Pro-Azala-OBzl (14) was a potent inhibitor of elastase ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 14 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). The results with the Lac-OEt residue in position $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ were quite different in Val-Gly- and Ala-Pro series of compounds. Boc-Val-Gly-Azala-Lac-OEt (8) and Boc-


|  |  |  | T.1.c. $\left(R_{F}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  | Found (\%) (Required) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compd. (Formula) | Yield (\%) | M.p. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | A | в | C | E | H | Q | C | H | N |
| (20) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{9}\right)$ | 92.3 | 110-111 | 0.82 | 0.84 | 0.70 | 0.40 | 0.70 | 0.44 | $\begin{gathered} 51.4 \\ (51.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.5 \\ (6.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.7 \\ (15.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| (21) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8}\right)$ | 73.4 | 209-210 | 0.78 | 0.81 | 0.66 | 0.31 | 0.60 | 0.36 | $\begin{gathered} 52.8 \\ (52.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.5 \\ (6.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.7 \\ (16.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| (23) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right)$ | 76 | 199-202 | 0.76 | 0.80 | 0.68 | 0.34 | 0.71 | 0.21 | $\begin{gathered} 56.7 \\ (56.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.5 \\ (7.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.5 \\ & (13.8) \end{aligned}$ |
| (24) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right)$ | 70.9 | 145-146 | 0.63 | 0.59 | 0.49 | 0.53 | 0.51 | 0.18 | $\begin{gathered} 55.8 \\ (56.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.1 \\ (7.2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.9 \\ (14.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| (25) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)$ | 79.1 | 205-206 | 0.75 | 0.71 | 0.63 | 0.36 | 0.64 | 0.13 | $\begin{gathered} 57.8 \\ (57.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.6 \\ (7.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.5 \\ (14.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| (26) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)$ | 93.2 | 226-227 | 0.75 | 0.81 | 0.63 | 0.28 | 0.58 | 0.18 | $\begin{gathered} 56.2 \\ (56.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.4 \\ (7.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.1 \\ (17.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| (27) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)$ | 63.4 | 165-166 | 0.73 | 0.76 | 0.59 | 0.36 | 0.62 | 0.23 | $\begin{gathered} 58.4 \\ (58.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.7 \\ (7.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.9 \\ (14.2) \end{gathered}$ |
| (28) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ | 84.2 | 201-203 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.56 | 0.35 | 0.58 |  | $\begin{gathered} 51.9 \\ (52.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.7 \\ (6.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.6 \\ (14.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| (29) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right)$ | 71.6 | 186-188 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.68 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 0.32 | $\begin{gathered} 53.6 \\ (53.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.6 \\ (8.3) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.6 \\ & (14.8) \end{aligned}$ |
| (30) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8}\right)$ | 67.5 | $a$ | 0.72 | 0.69 | 0.64 | 0.30 | 0.58 | 0.40 | $\begin{gathered} 55.8 \\ (56.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.2 \\ (7.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.2 \\ (14.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| (31) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8}\right)$ | 76 | 163-164 | 0.72 | 0.69 | 0.66 | 0.30 | 0.54 | 0.41 | $\begin{gathered} 55.7 \\ (56.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.4 \\ (7.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.2 \\ & (14.5) \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Obtained as a freeze-dried powder.

Table 3. Analytical data for Boc-Val-X- $\alpha$-Azala-OBzl and $\mathrm{MeOCOCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right)$ - NH - CO -Val-Y- $\alpha$-Azala-OBzl

|  |  |  | T.1.c. ( $R_{\mathrm{F}}$ ) |  |  |  |  | Found (\%) (Required) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compd. (Formula) | Yield (\%) | M.p. ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | E | F | H | P | Q | C | H | N |
| (44) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)$ | 68 | 179-181 | 0.53 | 0.60 | 0.61 | 0.27 | 0.45 | $\begin{gathered} 58.4 \\ (58.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.5 \\ (7.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.4 \\ (12.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| (45) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)$ | 59.9 | Foam | 0.59 | 0.67 | 0.66 | 0.29 | 0.56 | $\begin{gathered} 60.2 \\ (60.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.6 \\ (7.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.5 \\ (11.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| (46) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)$ | 76 | Foam | 0.60 | 0.61 | 0.65 | 0.31 | 0.47 | $\begin{gathered} 60.1 \\ (60.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.2 \\ (8.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.8 \\ (11.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| (47) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right)$ | 89.6 | 227-229 | 0.48 | 0.73 | 0.53 | 0.0 | 0.47 | $\begin{gathered} 57.4 \\ (57.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.8 \\ (7.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.2 \\ & (13.4) \end{aligned}$ |
| (48) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right)$ | 93.1 | Foam | 0.52 | 0.64 | 0.52 | 0.23 | 0.58 | $\begin{gathered} 59.0 \\ (59.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.8 \\ (7.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.8 \\ & (12.7) \end{aligned}$ |
| (49) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right)$ | 39.5 | 229-230 | 0.59 | 0.78 | 0.76 | 0.23 | 0.55 | $\begin{gathered} 58.6 \\ (59.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.8 \\ (7.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.5 \\ (12.7) \end{gathered}$ |

Gly-Val-Gly-Azala-Lac-OEt (11) were inactive, but similar analogues (15), (17), (18) in the Boc-Ala-Pro or Boc-Pro-AlaPro series were almost as potent as the corresponding benzyl ester analogue (14).

The effects of other aliphatic or aromatic substituents in the $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ position were studied in the $N$-[(1-methoxycarbonyl-3-methylbutyl)carbamoyl]-Val-Gly-Azala-X series of analogues, (19)-(31). The C-terminal phenyl ester analogue (24) was the most potent of the series ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 0.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). The corresponding benzyl, p-nitrobenzyl, and isobutyl ester analogues (22), (19), and (29) were 5 - to 10 -fold less potent. The Phe-OMe (30) and Ala-OMe (31) analogues were much less potent and all the other analogues were inactive at $400 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

Modifications at Postion $\mathrm{P}_{1}$.-The effects of various $\alpha$-azaamino acid residues in the $P_{1}$ position have been studied in Boc-Val-Gly-X-OBzl (7), (32)-(34), Boc-Ala-Ala-X-OBzl (35)(37), Boc-Ala-Pro-X-OBzl (14), (38), (39), Boc-Pro-Ala-Pro-XOBzl (40), (41), and $\mathrm{HO}_{2} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right)$-NH-CO-Ala-Pro-XOBzl (42), (43) series of analogues. In the Boc-Val-Gly-X-OBzl series of analogues the $\alpha$-azanorvaline substitution gave the
most potent analogue ( $\mathbf{3 3}$; $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 8.9 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), followed by $\alpha$-Azile (34) and $\alpha$-Azala (7) analogues. The $\alpha$-azaglycine analogue (32) was inactive. In the other series of compounds (35)-(43), analogues with an $\alpha$-azavaline residue in position $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ were invariably less potent than the other aza analogues, but the difference between an aza-alanine and an $\alpha$-azanorvaline residue in the $P_{1}$ position was not so clear. Boc-Ala-Ala- $\alpha$-Aznva-OBzl (36) was $c a$. 3 -fold more potent than the corresponding aza-alanine analogue (35), but Boc-Ala-Pro- $\alpha$-Aznva-OBzl (39) was about 10 -fold less potent than the corresponding $\alpha$-aza-alanine analogue (14).

Modifications at Postion $\mathrm{P}_{2}$.-In the Boc-Ala-X-Azala-OBzl series of compounds, the analogues with an alanine (35) or a proline (14) residue in position $P_{2}$ were equipotent, but in the Boc-Val-X-Azala-OBzl series, the proline analogue (45), which was the most potent of the series $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} 0.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}\right)$, was almost 70 -fold more potent than the corresponding alanine analogue (44), and about 150 -fold more potent than the valine (46) or the glycine (7) analogues. In contrast to the above results, in the $\mathrm{MeOCO}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Me}_{2}\right)$-NH-CO-Val-X-Azala-OBzl series, the compound containing an alanine residue (47) was

Tabel 4. Analytical data for the X-Val-Gly-Azala-OBzl analogues

|  |  |  | T.l.c. ( $R_{\text {F }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  | Found(\%) (Required) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compd. (Formula) | Yield (\%) | M.p. ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | A | B | C | F | H | Q | C | H | N |
| (50) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ | 68 | 159-160 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.63 | 0.73 | 0.60 | 0.50 | $\begin{gathered} 59.9 \\ (59.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.8 \\ (7.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.4 \\ (13.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| (51) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ | 41.4 | 149-151 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.62 | 0.64 | 0.59 | 0.50 | $\begin{gathered} 59.8 \\ (59.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.7 \\ (7.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.4 \\ (13.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| (52) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21}, \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right)$ | 83.9 | 160--162 | 0.69 | 0.76 | 0.66 | 0.72 | 0.62 | 0.32 | $\begin{gathered} 53.9 \\ (54.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.7 \\ (6.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.9 \\ (15.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| (53) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right)$ | 37.1 | 189-190 | 0.70 | 0.42 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.21 | 0.0 | $\begin{gathered} 53.3 \\ (53.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.4 \\ (6.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.3 \\ (15.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| (54) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right)$ | 82.5 | $a$ | 0.70 | 0.68 | 0.65 | 0.60 | 0.56 | 0.33 | $\begin{gathered} 55.6 \\ (55.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.2 \\ (7.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.1 \\ (14.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| (55) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right)$ | 82 | $a$ | 0.65 | 0.63 | 0.30 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 55.0 \\ (55.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.7 \\ (6.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.5 \\ (14.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| (56) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right)$ | 76.9 | $a$ | 0.66 | 0.61 | 0.30 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 55.7 \\ (55.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.1 \\ (7.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.0 \\ (14.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| (57) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{9}\right)$ | 72 | $\begin{aligned} & 179-180 \\ & \text { (decomp.) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.68 | 0.73 | 0.62 | 0.66 | 0.57 | 0.43 | $\begin{gathered} 52.5 \\ (52.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.4 \\ (6.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.5 \\ (13.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| (58) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{9}\right)$ | 96 | $a$ | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.10 |  | $\begin{gathered} 50.7 \\ (50.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.1 \\ (5.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.2 \\ (14.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| (59) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{9}\right)$ | 81 | 137-138 | 0.68 | 0.75 | 0.64 | 0.69 | 0.59 | 0.47 | $\begin{gathered} 53.4 \\ (53.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.4 \\ (6.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.2 \\ (13.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| (60) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{9} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ | 75.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 197-199 \\ & \text { (decomp.) } \end{aligned}$ | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.10 |  | $\begin{gathered} 50.1 \\ (50.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.1 \\ (6.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.3 \\ (13.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| (61) $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ ) | 56.3 | $a$ | 0.74 | 0.70 | 0.60 | 0.61 | 0.59 | 0.51 | $\begin{gathered} 55.2 \\ (54.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.5 \\ (6.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.8 \\ (11.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| (62) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~F}_{3}\right)$ | 71.8 | 156-160 | 0.70 | 0.74 | 0.66 | 0.75 | 0.68 | 0.40 | $\begin{gathered} 49.8 \\ (50.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.5 \\ (5.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.7 \\ (13.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| (63) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right)$ | 76.9 | $a$ | 0.64 | 0.60 | 0.58 | 0.63 | 0.57 | 0.52 | $\begin{gathered} 44.6 \\ (44.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \\ (4.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.4 \\ (11.6) \end{gathered}$ |
| (64) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21}, \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ | 68 | 159-160 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.63 | 0.73 | 0.60 | 0.50 | $\begin{gathered} 59.9 \\ (59.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.8 \\ (7.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.4 \\ (13.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| (65) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ | 41.4 | 149-151 | 0.71 | 0.72 | 0.62 | 0.64 | 0.59 | 0.50 | $\begin{gathered} 59.8 \\ (59.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.7 \\ (7.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.4 \\ (13.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| (66) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21}, \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ | 35.6 | 186-187 | 0.78 | 0.65 | 0.68 | 0.72 | 0.58 | 0.16 | $\begin{gathered} 60.0 \\ (60.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.4 \\ (7.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.1 \\ (13.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| (67) ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ | 52.8 | 174-175 | 0.83 | 0.79 | 0.67 | 0.72 | 0.68 | 0.26 | $\begin{gathered} 46.3 \\ (46.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.2 \\ (4.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.7 \\ & (9.9) \end{aligned}$ |
| (68) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)$ | 43.8 | $a$ | 0.82 | 0.78 | 0.68 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.29 | $\begin{gathered} 60.6 \\ (60.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.3 \\ (6.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.1 \\ (12.3) \end{gathered}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Obtained as freeze-dried powders from 2-methylpropan-2-ol.

Table 5. Analytical data for the X-Ala-Pro-Azala-OBzl analogues

| Compd. (Formula) | Yield (\%) | M.p. ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | T.1.c. ( $R_{F}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  | Found (\%) (Required) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | A | B | C | F | H | Q | C | H | N |
| (42) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right)$ | 77 | $a$ | 0.67 | 0.72 | 0.64 | 0.61 | 0.57 | 0.29 | 56.2 | 6.8 | 14.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (56.2) | (6.8) | (14.2) |
| (69) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right)$ | 68.2 | $a$ | 0.69 | 0.86 | 0.59 | 0.71 |  |  | 55.5 | 6.7 | 14.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (55.3) | (6.5) | (14.6) |
| (70) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right)$ | 75.2 | $a$ |  |  |  | 0.63 | 0.48 | 0.45 | 56.8 | 7.1 | 13.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (57.0) | (7.0) | (13.8) |
| (71) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right)$ | 57.7 | $a$ | 0.65 | 0.69 | 0.47 | 0.59 | 0.64 |  | 57.7 | 7.3 | 13.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (57.7) | (7.1) | (13.4) |
| (72) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{9}\right)$ | 52.2 | $a$ | 0.68 | 0.81 | 0.59 | 0.71 | 0.58 |  | 54.8 | 6.5 | 12.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (54.6) | (6.4) | (12.7) |
| (73) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right)$ | 76.5 | 167-168 | 0.59 | 0.68 | 0.21 | 0.42 | 0.21 |  | 56.1 | 6.3 | 12.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (56.2) | (6.3) | (12.5) |
| (74) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}\right)$ | 82.3 | $a$ | 0.75 | 0.76 | 0.72 | 0.70 | 0.54 | 0.45 | 55.9 | 6.6 | 11.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (56.1) | (6.5) | (11.4) |
| (75) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}\right)$ | 87.5 | Foam | 0.54 | 0.64 | 0.23 |  |  |  | 54.1 | 6.0 | 11.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (54.3) | (6.1) | (12.1) |
| (76) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ | 80.1 | Foam | 0.54 | 0.66 | 0.56 | 0.52 | 0.45 | 0.25 | 58.3 | 6.8 | 14.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (58.4) | (6.7) | (14.3) |

${ }^{a}$ Obtained as freeze-dried powders from 2-methylpropan-2-ol.
about 10 -fold more potent than the compounds containing a proline (48) or a valine (49) residue. Compounds (48) and (49) were equipotent and only marginally better than the analogue (22) with a glycine residue in the $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ position.

Modifications at Position $\mathrm{P}_{4}$.-When the N -terminal t butoxycarbonyl group in Boc-Val-Gly-Azala-OBzl (7, IC s $_{0}$ $66 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ was replaced by the $N$-[(1-methoxycarbonyl-X)-carbamoyl]- residues, the elastase inhibitory potency was significantly altered. For compounds in which X was $-\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me})$ (52), $-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right)$ - (54), or $-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right)$ - (22), the potency was enhanced but when the X was $-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)$ (57), or $-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)$ - (59), the compounds were somewhat less potent. In the carbamoyl series of analogues (22), (52)-(59), apart from (22) and (56), the analogues with an N -terminal carbox yalkyl group (53), (55), (58) and (60) were more potent than the corresponding methoxycarbonyl (52), (54), (57), and (59). The most potent analogue of the series, $N$-[(1-carboxyalkyl-1-methyl)-carbamoyl]-Val-Gly-Azala-
OBzl (53) ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was about 150 -fold more potent than the corresponding methoxycarbonyl analogue (52; IC $_{50} 15.4$ $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ). When the carbamoyl linkage in (52) was replaced by an oxycarbonyl linkage the resulting compound (61) did not inhibit elastase up to $400 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ concentration.

The N -terminal Boc-group in (7) was also replaced by a number of other acyl groups. The most potent of these, (66), containing the cyclobutyl-carbonyl group, was about 24 -fold less potent than (53).

In the X-Ala-Pro-Azala-OBzl series of analogues (14), (69) (75), the potency of the $N$-[(1-methoxycarbonyl-2-methyl-propyl)-carbamoyl]- analogue (70) was slightly higher than the corresponding carboxyalk yl analogue (42). The most significant difference between the X-Val-Gly-Azala-OBzl and X-Ala-Pro-Azala-OBzl series of compounds was seen in the case of the N -terminal oxycarbonyl compounds (61) and (74). N - $[(1-$ ethoxycarbonylethyl)oxycarbonyl]-Val-Gly-Azala-OBzl (61) was inactive at $400 \mu \mathrm{M}$, but $N$-[(1-ethoxycarbonylethyl)oxy-carbonyl]-Ala-Pro-Azala-OBzl (74) was nearly as active as the corresponding carbamoyl analogue (69).

The differences mentioned above, in the Val-Gly and Ala-Ala or Ala-Pro series of analogues as a result of similar substitutions (mainly in the $\mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ positions), may be the result of the two series of compounds binding in different manners. It is also possible that the conformational changes induced by these substitutions in the two series of analogues are quite different.

A comparison of the elastase inhibitory potency of the analogues reported here with Ac-Ala-Ala-Azala-ONp ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 5.7$ $\mu \mathrm{m})$ and Ac-Ala-Ala-Pro-Ala- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} 3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}\right)$ shows that inhibitors of pancreatic elastase, more potent than the two above inhibitors, can be obtained by various modifications of a Gly-Val-Gly-Val sequence. A number of analogues (19), (22), (24), (29), (45), (47)-(49), (53), (55), (60), and (66) were either equipotent with, or more potent than, Ac-Ala-Ala-Pro-Ala$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$. The most potent inhibitor from our series of analogues, $\mathrm{HO}_{2} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$-NH-CO-Val-Gly-Azala-OBzl ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was about 35 -fold more potent than the above chloromethyl ketone and about 57-fold more potent than Ac-Ala-Ala-AzalaONp .

## Experimental

The following solvent systems were used for ascending t.l.c. on precoated silica gel plates (Merck Kieselgel 60 F254): butanol-acetic acid-water ( $4: 1: 5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) $\left(R_{\mathrm{FA}}\right)$; butanol-acetic acid-water-pyridine ( $15: 3: 12: 10$ ) ( $R_{\mathrm{FB}}$ ); butan-2-ol-3\% ammonium hydroxide (3:1) ( $R_{\mathrm{FC}}$ ); acetonitile-water (3:1) $\left(R_{\mathrm{FD}}\right)$; acetone-chloroform (1:1) ( $R_{\mathrm{FE}}$ ); ethanol-chloroform
(4:1) ( $R_{\mathrm{FF}}$ ); cyclohexane-ethyl acetate-methanol ( $1: 1: 1$ ) $\left(R_{\mathrm{FH}}\right)$; chloroform-methanol-water ( $11: 8: 2$ ) ( $R_{\mathrm{FK}}$ ); chloroformmethanol (19:1) ( $R_{\mathrm{FP}}$ ); and chloroform-methanol ( $9: 1$ ) ( $R_{\mathrm{FQ}}$ ). Spots were revealed by u.v. light, ninhydrin, and $\mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ starch-KI. Symbols and abbreviations used follow the IUPAC-IUB recommendations; ${ }^{25}$ other abbreviations used are as follows: DCCI, dicylohexylcarbodi-imide; HOBt, 1-hydroxybenzotriazole; Lac, -OCH(Me)CO-; $\alpha$-Azgly, -NHNHCO-; $\alpha$-Azala, -NHN(Me)CO-; $\alpha$-Azval, -NHN(CHMe 2 )CO-; $\alpha$-Aznva, $-\mathrm{NHN}(\mathrm{Pr}) \mathrm{CO}-; \alpha$-Azile, $-\mathrm{NHN}(\mathrm{CHMeEt}) \mathrm{CO}-;$ DMF, dimethylformamide. All the evaporations were carried out under reduced pressure below $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Ac-Ala-Ala-Pro-Ala- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ and Ac-Ala-Ala-Azala-ONp used as standards were a gift from Dr. J. Powers, Dept. of Chemistry, Georgia Institute of Technology, U.S.A.

N-t-Butoxycarbonylglycyl-L-valine Benzyl Ester.-A solution of Boc-Gly ( $26.28 \mathrm{~g}, 150 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{HOBt}(20.25 \mathrm{~g}, 150 \mathrm{mmol})$, and valine benzyl ester $p$-toluenesulphonate ( $56.92 \mathrm{~g}, 150 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To the stirred solution was added triethylamine ( $21.3 \mathrm{ml}, 159 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by DCCI ( 34.04 g , 165 mmol ). The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Dicyclohexylurea was filtered off and the filtrate evaporated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate ( 800 ml ) and washed with water, $20 \%$ aqueous citric acid, water, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and water. The ethyl acetate solution was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated to dryness. The product was obtained as an oil which still contained some dicyclohexylurea. It was dissolved in ether, the urea was filtered off, and the filtrate was evaporated to leave an oil ( $48.6 \mathrm{~g}, 89.0 \%$ ) (lit., ${ }^{26}$ oil), $R_{\mathrm{FD}}$ $0.79, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.66, R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.78, R_{\mathrm{FP}} 0.69$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.74$.

Glycyl-L-valine Benzyl Ester Hydrochloride.-The above benzyl ester ( $48 \mathrm{~g}, 131.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in ethyl acetate $(200 \mathrm{ml})$ and a 6 M solution of hydrogen chloride in ethyl acetate ( $100 \mathrm{ml}, 600 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to it. After 1 h at room temperature the solvent was removed and the residue was triturated with anhydrous ether to leave the product as a solid which was collected, washed with ether, and dried ( $37.1 \mathrm{~g}, 93.7 \%$ ), m.p. $180-182^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, R_{\mathrm{FF}} 0.60$, and $R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.48$ (Found: C, $55.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.0 ; \mathrm{N}$, 9.0. $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires C, $55.9 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.0 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.3 \%$ ).

N-t-Butoxycarbonyl-L-valylglycyl-L-valine Benzyl Ester.Prepared by coupling Boc-Val ( $30.42 \mathrm{~g}, 140 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and glycyl-Lvaline benzyl ester $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}(35 \mathrm{~g}, 132 \mathrm{mmol})$ by the DCCI-HOBt method using the procedure already described. Yield 58.2 g , ( $89.8 \%$ ), oil, $R_{\mathrm{FD}} 0.71, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.60, R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.63, R_{\mathrm{FP}} 0.53$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.63$ (Found: C, 62.3; H, 8.0; N, 9.3. $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 62.2 ; \mathrm{H}$, $8.0 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.0 \%$ ).

N-t-Butoxycarbonylglycyl-L-valylglycyl-L-valine Benzyl Ester (2).-The above protected tripeptide ( $46.3 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with trifluoroacetic acid ( 250 ml ) at room temperature for 30 min . The trifluoroacetic acid was evaporated and the peptide trifluoroacetate was coupled with Boc-Gly (17.5 g, 100 mmol ) using triethylamine ( $14.5 \mathrm{ml}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DCCI ( 20.6 g , 100 mmol ), and HOBt ( $13.5 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by the procedure described above for Boc-Gly-Val-OBzl. The product was crystallised from propan-2-ol ( $41.5 \mathrm{~g}, 79.8 \%$ ), m.p. $209-210^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $R_{\mathrm{FD}} 0.76, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.50, R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.75$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.58$ (Found: C, $59.9 ; \mathrm{H}$, $7.5 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.9 \mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ requires C, $59.9 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.7 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.7 \%$ ).

## $\mathrm{N}-t$-Butoxycarbonyl-L-prolylglycyl-L-valylglycyl-L-valine

 Benzyl Ester (3).-The above Boc-tetrapeptide benzyl ester ( 5 g , 9.6 mmol ) was dissolved in trifluoroacetic acid ( 10 ml ) and the solution was left at room temperature for 30 min . The trifluoroacetic acid was removed and the residue was triturated with ether, collected, washed with ether, and dried to give Gly-Val-Gly-Val-OBzl (1) as a trifluoroacetate salt, $R_{\mathrm{FD}} 0.60, R_{\mathrm{FF}} 0.36$, and $R_{\mathrm{FK}} 0.70$ (Found: C, 51.6; H, 6.3; N, 10.5. $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 51.7 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.2 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.5 \%$ ).

The above trifluoroacetate salt was coupled to Boc-Pro (2.03 $\mathrm{g}, 9.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ with $\mathrm{DCCI}(2.06 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{HOBt}(2.6 \mathrm{~g}, 19.2$ mmol ) after adding triethylamine ( $1.35 \mathrm{ml}, 9.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The work up procedure was similar to that described for Boc-Val-GlyOBzl. The crude product was purified by silica gel ( 200 g ) column chromatography using chloroform and $2.5 \%$ methanol in chloroform as eluants. Yield $3.6 \mathrm{~g}(61.9 \%)$, m.p. $185-188^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $R_{\mathrm{FD}} 0.60, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.37, R_{\mathrm{FF}} 0.66, R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.55$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.44$ (Found: C, $60.4 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.6 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.5 . \mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 60.2 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.6 ; \mathrm{N}$, $11.3 \%$ ).

N-t-Butoxycarbonyl-L-alanyl-L-alanine Benzyl Ester.-BocAla ( $28.3 \mathrm{~g}, 150 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with alanine benzyl ester $p$ toluenesulphonate ( $47.7 \mathrm{~g}, 150 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using triethylamine ( 21.3 $\mathrm{ml}, 150 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{HOBt}(40.5 \mathrm{~g}, 300 \mathrm{mmol})$, and DCCI ( $34.0 \mathrm{~g}, 165$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(250 \mathrm{ml})$. The procedure was similar to that employed for the synthesis of Boc-Gly-Val-OBzl. The product was obtained as an oil ( $43.7 \mathrm{~g}, 83.2 \%$ ), $R_{\mathrm{FD}} 0.77, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.62, R_{\mathrm{FH}}$ $0.72, R_{\mathrm{FP}} 0.67$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.75$.
$\mathrm{N}-t$-Butoxycarbonyl-L-alanyl-L-alanyl-L-alanine Benzyl Ester (4).-The above dipeptide benzyl ester ( $17.5 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in ethyl acetate $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ and 6 M HCl in ethyl acetate ( 50 ml ) was added. After 30 min at room temperature, the ethyl acetate was evaporated and the remaining oil, which showed a single spot on t.l.c. ( $R_{\mathrm{FD}} 0.67, R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.45, R_{\mathrm{FK}} 0.91$ ), was coupled to Boc-Ala ( $9.4 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 150 ml ) by the DCCI ( 10.3 g , $50 \mathrm{mmol})-\mathrm{HOBt}(6.8 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mathrm{mmol})$ method using the procedure described for Boc-Gly-Val-OBzl. The product was crystallised from methanol-water ( $14.2 \mathrm{~g}, 66.4 \%$ ), m.p. $137-138{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., ${ }^{20}$ $140-141^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), $R_{\mathrm{FD}} 0.70, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.55, R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.73, R_{\mathrm{FP}} 0.46$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}}$ 0.62 (Found: C, 59.7; H, 7.3; N, 9.7. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, 59.8; H, 7.4; N, 10.0\%).
$\mathrm{N}-t$-Butoxycarbonyl-L-valylglycine Ethyl Ester.-A solution of Boc-Val ( $65.1 \mathrm{~g}, 300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $N$-methylmorpholine ( 33 ml , 300 mmol ) in DMF ( 200 ml ) was cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To the stirred reaction mixture was added ethyl chloroformate ( $28.5 \mathrm{ml}, 300 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), the temperature being maintained below $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 2 min , a precooled $\left(-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ mixture of GlyOEt $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}(41.8 \mathrm{~g}, 300 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $N$-methylmorpholine ( 33 ml , 300 mmol ) in DMF ( 250 ml ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred below $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and then overnight at room temperature. The DMF was then evaporated and the residue in ethyl acetate washed with water, $20 \%$ aqueous citric acid, water, and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated to dryness. The crude peptide was crystallised from hot cyclohexane, ( $68.1 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ), m.p. $167-168{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., ${ }^{27,28}$ $169-170$ and $167^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), $R_{\mathrm{FD}} 0.70, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.64, R_{\mathrm{FF}} 0.70, R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.69$, $R_{\mathrm{FP}} 0.59$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.56$ (Found: C, $55.5 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.7 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.4$. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 55.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.6 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.2 \%$ ).

N -t-Butoxycarbonyl-L-valylglycine.-The above ethyl ester ( $35.3 \mathrm{~g}, 117 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in ethanol ( 250 ml ) and a solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(5.16 \mathrm{~g}, 129 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water ( 30 ml ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 90 min . Most of the ethanol was then evaporated and water ( 150 ml ) was added. The aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate, acidified with citric acid (to pH 4 ), and extracted again with ethyl acetate. The organic phase was washed with water, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated to give the product as a foam $(31.2 \mathrm{~g}, 97.3 \%), R_{\mathrm{FA}} 0.64, R_{\mathrm{FB}} 0.61, R_{\mathrm{FC}} 0.40, R_{\mathrm{FD}} 0.52$ and $R_{\mathrm{FH}}$ 0.40 (Found: C, $52.3 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.1 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.1 . \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires C, 52.5 ; H, 8.1; N, $10.2 \%$ ).

N-t-Butoxycarbonyl-L-valylglycyl- $\alpha$-aza-alanine Benzyl Ester (7).-Boc-Val-Gly-OH ( $8.2 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was coupled with benzyl 2-methylcarbazate $(5.4 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \mathrm{mmol})$ by the mixed anhydride method. The procedure was similar to that described above for Boc-Val-Gly-OEt. The crude peptide was purified by silica gel column chromatography using chloroform and $1 \%$ methanol in chloroform as eluants to give the pure tripeptide derivative as a foam ( $9.7 \mathrm{~g}, 74.3 \%$ ), $R_{\mathrm{FA}} 0.73, R_{\mathrm{FD}} 0.67, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.47$ $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.65, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{FP}} 0.41$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.53$ (Found: C, $57.5 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.4 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.6$. $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 57.8 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.4 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.8 \%$ ).

Ethyl N-t-Butoxycarbonyl-L-valylglycyl- $\alpha$-aza-alanyl-lactate (8).-This was prepared from Boc-Val-Gly-OH ( $4.12 \mathrm{~g}, 15$ mmol ) and Azala-Lac-OEt ( $2.85 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by the mixed anhydride method. The procedure used was similar to that employed for Boc-Val-Gly-OEt. The crude peptide was purified by silica gel column chromatography using chloroform and $1 \%$ methanol in chloroform as eluants to yield compound (8) as a foam ( $5.08 \mathrm{~g}, 75.8 \%$ ), $R_{\mathrm{FA}} 0.63, R_{\mathrm{FB}} 0.72, R_{\mathrm{FC}} 0.72, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.45, R_{\mathrm{FH}}$ $0.61, R_{\mathrm{FP}} 0.44$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.56$ (Found: C, $51.3 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.6 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.4$. $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 51.1 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.6 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.5 \%$ ).

N-t-Butoxycarbonyl-L-valylglycine 2-Methylhydrazide (5).-Boc-Val-Gly-Azala-OBzl ( $4 \mathrm{~g}, 9.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in methanol ( 50 ml ) and $5 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(0.8 \mathrm{~g})$ in water ( 10 ml ) was added. Hydrogen gas was bubbled through for 6 h . The catalyst was then filtered off and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. The residue was triturated with ether, collected, washed with ether, and dried to yield compound (5) $(2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%), R_{\mathrm{FA}} 0.51$, $R_{\mathrm{FB}} 0.64, R_{\mathrm{FC}} 0.59, R_{\mathrm{FF}} 0.51, R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.50$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.26$ (Found: C, 51.6; H, 8.7; N, 18.3. $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires C, $51.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.7 ; \mathrm{N}$, $18.5 \%$ ).
$\mathrm{N}-t$-Butoxycarbonyl-L-valylglycine 2-Formyl-2-methyl-
hydrazide (6).-Carbonyl di-imidazole (1.1 equiv.) was added to formic acid in chloroform and the solution was stirred for 10 min . This solution of $N$-formyl imidazole ( $0.5 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) was added to a solution of Boc-Val-Gly-NH-NHMe ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83$ mmol ) in chloroform ( 10 ml ). After stirring for 2 h at room temperature the solution was evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by gel filtration on Sephadex G-10 in water and then by silica gel column chromatography using chloroform and 1 and $2 \%$ methanol in chloroform as eluants to give compound (6) ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 73.2 \%$ ) (Found: C, $50.7 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.2 ; \mathrm{N}$, 16.0. $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 51.0 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.2 ; \mathrm{N}, 15.9 \%$ ).

N-t-Butoxycarbonylglycyl-L-valylglycine Ethyl Ester.-Val-Gly-OEt. $\mathrm{HCl}(34 \mathrm{~g}, 146 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) (prepared from Boc-Val-GlyOEt by HCl in ethyl acetate treatment) was coupled to Boc-Gly ( $28 \mathrm{~g}, 160 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using the DCCI-HOBt method as described for Boc-Gly-Val-OBzl. Yield $36.1 \mathrm{~g},(68.8 \%)$, m.p. $145-147^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $R_{\mathrm{FD}} 0.65, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.46, R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.56, R_{\mathrm{FP}} 0.45$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.42$ (Found: C, $53.8 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.4 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.7 . \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires C, $53.5 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.1 ; \mathrm{N}$, $11.7 \%$ ).
$\mathrm{N}-t$-Butoxycarbonylglycyl-L-valylglycine.-Boc-Gly-Val-Gly-OEt ( $3.59 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ was treated with $1 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{NaOH}(12.0 \mathrm{ml}, 12 \mathrm{mmol})$ for 1 h . The solution was then passed through a Biorex $70\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right.$form) ion exchange resin column, evaporated to dryness, and triturated with ether to give the product as a gelatinous solid ( $2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 75.7 \%$ ), $R_{\mathrm{FA}} 0.66, R_{\mathrm{FB}}$ $0.56, R_{\mathrm{FC}} 0.25, R_{\mathrm{FD}} 0.52$, and $R_{\mathrm{FK}} 0.52$ (Found: C, $50.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.3 ; \mathrm{N}$, 12.4. $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 50.7 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.6 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.7 \%$ ).
$\mathrm{N}-t$-Butoxycarbonylglycyl-L-valylglycyl- $\alpha$-aza-alanine Benzyl Ester (10).-This was prepared by coupling Boc-Gly-Val-GlyOH ( $4.97 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and benzyl 2-methylcarbazate ( $2.7 \mathrm{~g}, 15$ mmol ) by the mixed anhydride method as described above for

Boc-Val-Gly-OEt. The crude peptide was purified by silica gel column chromatography using chloroform and $1 \%$ methanol in chloroform as eluants. The product was obtained as a foam (4.8 $\mathrm{g}, 66 \%), R_{\mathrm{FA}} 0.84, R_{\mathrm{FB}} 0.84, R_{\mathrm{FC}} 0.75, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.33, R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.56$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}}$ 0.27 (Found: C, $56.1 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.3 ; \mathrm{N}, 14.2 . \mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ requires C, $56.0 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.2 ; \mathrm{N}, 14.2 \%$ ).

Ethyl N -t-Butoxycarbonylglycyl-L-valylglycyl- $\alpha$-aza-alanyllactate (11).-This was prepared from Boc-Gly-Val-Gly-OH ( $2.65 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and Azala-Lac-OEt ( $1.53 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by the mixed anhydride method as described above for Boc-Val-GlyOEt. The product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using chloroform and $2 \%$ methanol in chloroform as eluants to give (11) as a foam ( $1.46 \mathrm{~g}, 36.2 \%$ ), $R_{\mathrm{FA}} 0.78, R_{\mathrm{FB}} 0.79$, $R_{\mathrm{FC}} 0.70, R_{\mathrm{FD}} 0.65, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.35, R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.55$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.38$ (Found: C, 49.9; H, 7.5; $\mathrm{N}, 13.6 . \mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ requires C, $50.1 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.4 ; \mathrm{N}$, $13.9 \%$ ).
$\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{t}$-Butoxycarbonylglycyl-L-valylglycine 2-Methylhydrazide (9).-This was prepared by a method similar to that used for the preparation of Boc-Val-Gly-NH-NH-Me, yield $90.7 \%, R_{\text {FA }}$ $0.46, R_{\mathrm{FB}} 0.68, R_{\mathrm{FC}} 0.52, R_{\mathrm{FD}} 0.46, R_{\mathrm{FF}} 0.48$, and $R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.36$ (Found: C, 49.9; $\mathrm{H}, 8.1 ; \mathrm{N}, 19.4 . \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires C , 50.1 ; H, 8.1; N, 19.4\%).

N - $t$-Butoxycarbonylglycyl-L-valylglycyl- $\alpha$-aza-alanyl-Lphenylalanine Benzyl Ester (12).-To a stirred suspension of Boc-Gly-Val-Gly-NH-NH-Me ( $0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in chloroform ( 5 ml ), $N$-carbonylphenylalanine benzyl ester $(0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. A clear solution, obtained in a few min, was left at room temperature overnight. The solvent was removed and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using chloroform and 1 and $2 \%$ methanol in chloroform as eluants to give (12) ( $0.54 \mathrm{~g}, 76.7 \%$ ), $R_{\mathrm{FA}} 0.70, R_{\mathrm{FC}} 0.62, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.22, R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.65$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.39$ (Found: C, $59.8 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.8 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.2 . \mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ requires C, $59.9 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.9 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.1 \%$ ). Amino acid analysis ( 16 h acid hydrolysate): Gly 1.99 , Val 1.0, Phe 0.97 .
$\mathrm{N}-t$-Butoxycarbonyl-L-alanyl-L-prolyl- $\alpha$-aza-alanine Benzyl Ester (14).-Prepared by coupling Boc-Ala-Pro-OH ${ }^{22}$ ( 10.9 g , 40 mmol ) with benzyl 2-methylcarbazate ( $8.3 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF by the mixed anhydride method as described above for Boc-Val-Gly-OEt. The pure product was obtained as a foam after silica gel ( 500 g ) column chromatography using chloroform and $2 \%$ methanol in chloroform as eluants ( 15.03 g , $83.7 \%$ ), $R_{\mathrm{FA}} 0.89, R_{\mathrm{FB}} 0.83, R_{\mathrm{FC}} 0.77, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.58, R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.61$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}}$ 0.57 (Found: C, 59.0; H, 7.4; N, 12.4. $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires C, $58.9 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.2 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.5 \%$ ).
$\mathrm{N}-t$-Butoxycarbonyl-L-alanyl-L-proline 2-Methylhydrazide (13).-This was prepared by a method similar to that used for the preparation of Boc-Val-Gly-NH-NH-Me. The product was crystallised from ether-light petroleum ( $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to give (13) $\left(3.19 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%\right.$ ), m.p. $99-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} R_{\mathrm{FA}} 0.46, R_{\mathrm{FB}} 0.68, R_{\mathrm{FC}} 0.52, R_{\mathrm{FD}}$ $0.46, R_{\mathrm{FF}} 0.49$, and $R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.36$ (Found: C, $52.3 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.3 ; \mathrm{N}, 17.4$. $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires C, $52.0 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.4 ; \mathrm{N}, 17.3 \%$ ).

Ethyl $\mathrm{N}-t$-Butoxycarbonyl-L-alanyl-L-prolyl- $\alpha$-aza-alanyllactate (15).-A solution of $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{Lac}-\mathrm{OEt}^{10}(0.72 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in chloroform ( 5 ml ) was added to a solution of Boc-Ala-Pro-NH-NH-Me ( $1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 3.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $0.53 \mathrm{ml}, 3.8$ mmol ) and the reaction mixture was left overnight at room temperature. It was evaporated to dryness and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using chloroform as eluant. The product was obtained as a foam ( $1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 74.6 \%$ ), $R_{\mathrm{FA}} 0.65, R_{\mathrm{FB}} 0.69, R_{\mathrm{FC}} 0.62, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.45, R_{\mathrm{FF}} 0.64$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.50$ (Found: C, 52.3; H, 7.6; N, 12.2. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ requires C, 52.4; H, 7.5 ; N, $12.2 \%$ ).
$\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{t}$-Butoxycarbonyl-L-alanyl-L-prolyl- $\alpha$-aza-alanyl-L-phenylalanine Benzyl Ester (16).-This was prepared by treating Boc-Ala-Pro-NH-NH-Me ( $1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 3.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with N -carbonylphenylalanine benzyl ester ( $1.12 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in chloroform ( 10 ml ). After 18 h at room temperature the solution was evaporated to dryness and the pure product was obtained by silica gel column chromatography using chloroform and 1 and $2 \%$ methanol in chloroform as eluants ( $1.95 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ ), m.p. $177-178^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, R_{\mathrm{FA}} 0.75$, $R_{\mathrm{FB}} 0.76, R_{\mathrm{FC}} 0.70, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.43, R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.62$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.40$ (Found: C, 62.6; H, 6.9; $\mathrm{N}, 11.9 . \mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ requires C, $62.5 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.9 ; \mathrm{N}$, $11.8 \%$ ).

## $\mathrm{N}-t$-Butoxycarbonyl-L-prolyl-L-alanyl-L-proline Methyl

 Ester--L-Ala-Pro-OMe- $\mathrm{HCl}(11.8 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mathrm{mmol})$, prepared by the catalytic hydrogenolysis ( $5 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ) of Z-Ala-Pro-OMe, ${ }^{22}$ was coupled to Boc-Pro-OH ( $10.76 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by the mixed anhydride method. The procedure was similar to that used for the preparation of Boc-Val-Gly-OEt and the product was obtained as an oil ( $10.2 \mathrm{~g}, 51.4 \%$ ), $R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.44, R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.52, R_{\mathrm{FP}} 0.49$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.57$ (Found: C, 57.2; H, 7.8; N, 10.4. $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 57.4 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.8 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.5 \%$ ).$\mathrm{N}-t$-Butoxycarbonyl-L-prolyl-L-alanyl-L-proline.-A solution of Boc-Pro-Ala-Pro-OMe ( $10.1 \mathrm{~g}, 25.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 50 $\mathrm{ml})$ was treated with $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(14 \mathrm{ml}, 28 \mathrm{mmol})$ for 2 h at room temperature. The work-up method was similar to that used for the preparation of Boc-Gly-Val-Gly-OH, described above. Yield $93.3 \%$, foam (lit., ${ }^{23}$ glassy solid), $R_{\mathrm{FA}} 0.61, R_{\mathrm{FB}} 0.61, R_{\mathrm{FC}}$ 0.20 , and $R_{\mathrm{FK}} 0.57$. Amino acid analysis ( 16 h acid hydrolysate): Ala 1, Pro 1.96.

Ethyl $\mathrm{N}-t$-Butoxycarbonyl-L-prolyl-L-alanyl- $\mathrm{L}-$ prolyl- $\alpha$-aza-alanyl-lactate (17).-A procedure similar to that used for the preparation of Boc-Ala-Pro-Azala-Lac-OEt described above was employed except that the silica gel column was eluted with chloroform and $1 \%$ methanol in chloroform to give the pure product as a foam ( $58.7 \%$ ), $R_{\mathrm{FA}} 0.70, R_{\mathrm{FB}} 0.79, R_{\mathrm{FC}} 0.70, R_{\mathrm{FD}}$ $0.65, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.42$, and $R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.50$ (Found: $53.3 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.6 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.4$. $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{9} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 53.2 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.5 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.4 \%$ ). Amino acid analysis: Ala 1, Pro 1.98.
$\mathrm{N}-t$-Butoxycarbonyl-L-prolyl-L-alanyl-L-prolyl- $\alpha$-aza-alanyllactamide (18).-Compound (17) ( $2.56 \mathrm{~g}, 4.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in methanol saturated with ammonia ( 25 ml ) was left at room temperature for 3 days. Methanol was then removed and the residue after silica gel column chromatography using chloroform and 1 and $2 \%$ methanol in chloroform as eluants gave the pure amide (18) as a foam ( $1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 57.8 \%$ ), $R_{\mathrm{FA}} 0.51, R_{\mathrm{FB}}$ $0.65, R_{\mathrm{FC}} 0.52, R_{\mathrm{FF}} 0.54$, and $R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.31$ (Found: C, $50.7 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.2 ; \mathrm{N}$, 15.2. $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ requires C, $50.7 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.4 ; \mathrm{N}, 15.4 \%$ ). Amino acid analysis: Ala 1, Pro 1.97.

N-[(1-Methoxycarbonyl-3-methylbutyl)carbamoyl]-L-valylglycylaza-alanine Benzyl Ester (22).-A mixture of Val-Gly-Azala-OBzl.HCl $(25 \mathrm{~g}, 67.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ (prepared by the HCl in acetic acid treatment of the Boc-derivative for 1 h at room temperature) and triethylamine ( $9.5 \mathrm{ml}, 68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in chloroform $(500 \mathrm{ml})$ was stirred and cooled in an ice bath. $N$-Carbonyl Lleucine methyl ester ( $11.98 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred in an ice bath for 2 h and then left at room temperature overnight. The chloroform was then evaporated and the residue in ethyl acetate (11) was washed with water, $20 \%$ aqueous citric acid and water, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated to dryness. The product was crystallised from hot ethyl acetate-light petroleum ( $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $(28.8 \mathrm{~g}, 84.7 \%)$, m.p. $178-179^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, R_{\mathrm{FA}} 0.81, R_{\mathrm{FB}} 0.78, R_{\mathrm{FC}} 0.70, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.40, R_{\mathrm{FF}}$ $0.69, R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.62$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.27$ (Found: C, $56.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.4 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.7$. $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ requires C, $56.8 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.3 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.8 \%$ ).

N -[(1-Methoxycarbonyl-3-methylbutyl)carbamoyl]-L-valylglycine 2-Methylhydrazide.-Prepared from the above benzyl ester by the procedure used for Boc-Val-Gly-NH-NH-Me. The product was collected washed with ether and dried ( $92.4 \%$ ) (Found: C, $51.5 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.4 ; \mathrm{N}, 18.9 . \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires C, 51.4; H, 8.3; N, $18.7 \%$ ).

N-[(1-Methoxycarbonyl-3-methylbutyl)carbamoyl]-L-valyl-glycylaza-alanine p-Nitrobenzyl Ester (19).-p-Nitrobenzyl chloroformate ( $430 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to an ice-cold stirred solution of $N$-[(1-methoxycarbonyl-3-methylbutyl)-carbamoyl]-L-valylglycine 2 -methylhydrazide ( $373 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ mmol ) in pyridine ( 5 ml ). The reaction mixture was left at room temperature overnight. Ethyl acetate $(200 \mathrm{ml})$ and water $(25 \mathrm{ml})$ were then added and the organic phase was washed with water, $20 \%$ aqueous citric acid and water, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated to dryness. Crystallisation from hot ethyl acetate gave (19) ( $357 \mathrm{mg}, 64.6 \%$ ), m.p. $207-208^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.), $R_{\mathrm{FA}}$ $0.81, R_{\mathrm{FB}} 0.79, R_{\mathrm{FC}} 0.70, R_{\mathrm{FD}} 0.73, R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.64$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.40$ (Found: C, 52.3; H, 6.6; N, $15.0 \mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ requires C, 52.1; H, 6.5; N, $15.2 \%$ ).

Compounds (20), (21), and (23)-(31) were also prepared by the above procedure using the appropriate acid chloride, chloroformate or isocyanate; the data for these compounds are listed in Table 2.

N-t-Butoxycarbonyl-L-valylglycyl- $\alpha$-azaglycine Benzyl Ester (32).-Prepared by coupling Boc-Val-Gly-OH and benzyl carbazate by the mixed anhydride method as described above for Boc-Val-Gly-OEt. Yield $81.7 \%$, m.p. $91-92{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, R_{\mathrm{FA}} 0.40, R_{\mathrm{FB}}$ $0.51, R_{\mathrm{FD}} 0.64, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.33$ and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.33$ (Found: C, $56.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.2 ; \mathrm{N}$, 12.9. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 56.8 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.1 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.2 \%$ ).

N -t-Butoxycarbonyl-L-valylglycyl- $\alpha$-azanorvaline Benzyl Ester (33).-The preparation was similar to that described for Boc-Val-Gly-Azgly-OBzl. Yield $60.8 \%$, m.p. $52-54^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, R_{\mathrm{FA}} 0.53$, $R_{\mathrm{FB}} 0.65, R_{\mathrm{FC}} 0.55, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.47, R_{\mathrm{FF}} 0.52$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.54$ (Found: C, $59.2 \mathrm{H}, 8.0 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.0 . \mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires C, $59.4 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.8 ; \mathrm{N}$, $12.0 \%$ ).

N-t-Butoxycarbonyl-L-valylglycyl- $\alpha$-azaisoleucine Benzyl Ester (34).-This was prepared by a method similar to that used for the preparation of Boc-Val-Gly-Azgly-OBzl using Boc-Val-Gly-OH and benzyl 2-(s-butyl)-carbazate. Yield $82.2 \%$ m.p. $65-66^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, R_{\mathrm{FA}} 0.72, R_{\mathrm{FB}} 0.74, R_{\mathrm{FC}} 0.61, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.19, R_{\mathrm{FF}} 0.65$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.49$ (Found: C, $60.4 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.3 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.9 . \mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires C, $60.2 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.0 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.7 \%$ ).

N-t-Butoxycarbonyl-L-alanyl-L-alanyl- $\alpha$-aza-alanine Benzyl Ester (35).-This was prepared as above by coupling Boc-Ala-Ala- $\mathrm{OH}^{21}$ with benzyl 2-methyl carbazate. Crystallisation from hot isopropanol-ether gave pure (35) $(66 \%$ ), m.p. 195$197^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, R_{\mathrm{FA}} 0.83, R_{\mathrm{FB}} 0.75, R_{\mathrm{FC}} 0.72, R_{\mathrm{FD}} 0.69, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.49, R_{\mathrm{FH}}$ 0.63 , and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.42$ (Found: C, $56.8 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.3 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.4 . \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 56.9 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.2 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.3 \%$ ).
$\mathrm{N}-t$-Butoxycarbonyl-L-alanyl-L-alanyl- $\alpha$-azanorvaline Benzyl Ester (36).-This was prepared by coupling Boc-Ala-Ala-OH to benzyl 2-propylcarbazate by the DCCI-HOBt method. The crude peptide was purified by silica gel column chromatography using chloroform and $1 \%$ methanol in chloroform as eluants. The products was obtained as a foam ( $85 \%$ ), $R_{\mathrm{FA}} 0.87, R_{\mathrm{FB}} 0.82$, $R_{\mathrm{FC}} 0.75, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.57, R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.67$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.49$ (Found: C, $58.6 ; \mathrm{H}$, $7.8 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.2 . \mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 58.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.60 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.43 \%$ ).

N-t-Butoxycarbonyl-L-alanyl-L-alanyl- $\alpha$-azaisoleucine Benzyl Ester (37).-Preparation and purification by silica gel column chromatography was similar to Boc-Ala-Ala-Aznva-OBzl, yield, $68.3 \%$, foam, $R_{\mathrm{FA}} 0.73, R_{\mathrm{FB}} 0.68, R_{\mathrm{FC}} 0.53, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.43, R_{\mathrm{FH}}$
0.54 , and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.52$ (Found: C, $59.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.0 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.8 . \mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 59.4 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.8 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.0 \%$ ).

N-t-Butoxycarbonyl-L-alanyl-L-prolyl- $\alpha$-azavaline Benzyl Ester (38).-This was prepared by coupling Boc-Ala-Pro-OH to benzyl 2 -isopropyl carbazate by the mixed anhydride method. The product was obtained as a foam after silica gel column chromatography using chloroform and $0.5 \%$ methanol in chloroform as eluants $(59.4 \%), R_{\mathrm{FA}} 0.83, R_{\mathrm{FB}} 0.77, R_{\mathrm{FC}} 0.67$, $R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.53, R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.55$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.57$ (Found: C, $60.3 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.7 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.6$. $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 60.5 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.6 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.8 \%$ ).
$\mathrm{N}-t$-Butoxycarbonyl-L-alanyl-L-prolyl- $\alpha$-azanorvaline Benzyl Ester (39).-This was prepared by coupling Boc-Ala-Pro-OH to benzyl 2-propylcarbazate by the DCCI-HOBt method. The product after silica gel column chromatography using chloroform and $1 \%$ methanol in chloroform as eluants was obtained as a foam ( $54.8 \%$ ), $R_{\mathrm{FD}} 0.74, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.59, R_{\mathrm{FP}} 0.54$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.58$ (Found: C, 60.3; H, 7.3; N, 12.0. $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires C, 60.5; H, 7.6; N, 11.8\%).
$\mathrm{N}-t$-Butoxycarbonyl-L-prolyl-L-alanyl-L-prolyl- $\alpha$-aza-alanine Benzyl Ester (40).-This was prepared by coupling Boc-Pro-Ala-Pro-OH to benzyl 2-methylcarbazate by the mixed anhydride method. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using chloroform and $2 \%$ methanol in chloroform as eluants to yield (40) as a foam ( $67.3 \%$ ), $R_{\mathrm{FA}} 0.78$, $R_{\mathrm{FD}} 0.65, R_{\mathrm{FE}} 0.42, R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.53$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.47$ (Found: C, $59.4 ; \mathrm{H}$, 7.3; $\mathrm{N}, 12.7 . \mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 59.4 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.2 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.8 \%$ ).
$\mathrm{N}-t$-Butoxycarbonyl-L-prolyl-L-alanyl-L-prolyl- $\alpha$-azavaline Benzyl Ester (41).-This was prepared by coupling Boc-ProOH to Ala-Pro-Azval-OBzl by the DCCI-HOBt method. The crude peptide was purified by silica gel column chromatography using chloroform and 1 and $3 \%$ methanol in chloroform as eluants. Yield $75.8 \%$, foam, $R_{\mathrm{FA}} 0.74, R_{\mathrm{FB}} 0.78, R_{\mathrm{FD}} 0.63, R_{F E}$ $0.44, R_{\mathrm{FH}} 0.59, R_{\mathrm{FP}} 0.47$, and $R_{\mathrm{FQ}} 0.54$ (Found: C, $60.7 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.5$; N, 12.2. $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 60.7 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.6 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.2 \%$ ).
$\mathrm{N}-t$-Butoxycarbonyl-L-valyl- $X$ - $\alpha$-aza-alanine Benzyl Ester ( $\mathrm{X}=$ Ala, Pro, Val) (44)-(46).-All compounds were prepared by coupling Boc-Val-X-OH to benzyl 2-methylcarbazate by a procedure similar to that used for the preparation of Boc-Val-Gly-Azala-OBzl described above. The characterisation data for these compounds are summarised in Table 3.
$\mathrm{N}-[(1-$ Methoxycarbonyl-3-methylbutyl) carbamoyl]-L-valyl-Y- - -aza-alanine Benzyl Ester (Y = Ala, Pro, Val) (47)-(49).The $t$-butoxycarbonyl protecting group from compunds (44)(46) was cleaved by a treatment with HCl in ethyl acetate and the resulting peptides were reacted with $N$-carbonyl l-leucine methyl ester by a procedure similar to that used for the preparation of (22). Table 3 summarises the characterisation data.

X-L-Valylglycyl- $\alpha$-aza-alanine Benzyl Ester (50)-(68).-All of these analogoues were prepared by treating Val-Gly-Azala-OBzl with the appropriate acid chloride, isocyanate $[\mathrm{MeOCOCH}(\mathrm{R}) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ], or chloroformate $[\mathrm{EtOCOCH}(\mathrm{Me})$ $\mathrm{OCOCl}]$. The data are summarised in Table 4.

The $N$-terminal carboxyalkyl derivatives were prepared by saponification of the corresponding methyl esters. The general procedure used was as follows. The methoxycarbonyl analogue was dissolved in methanol and 1 m NaOH ( 2 equiv.) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . Methanol was then removed and the residue was dissolved in water acidified with solid citric acid ( $\mathrm{pH} 2-3$ ). Citric acid and
salts were then removed by countercurrent distribution using butanol-water ( 10 transfers). The product containing fractions were evaporated to dryness and the residue, dissolved in a mixture of butanol-methanol-water ( $1: 1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), was passed through an anion exchange column (AGI X-2, acetate form). The column was eluted with increasing concentrations of acetic acid ( $0.01-0.1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) in butanol-methanol-water ( $1: 1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) and the pure peptides were obtained as freeze-dried powders.

X-L-Alanyl-L-prolyl- $\alpha$-aza-alanine Benzyl Ester (42), (69)-(76).-The preparation was similar to that used for the above X-Val-Gly-Azala-OBzl analogues. Data are summarised in Table 5.

Inhibition of Pancreatic Elastase.-A method similar to that described by Beith et al. ${ }^{29}$ was used. Porcine pancreatic elastase ( $7.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$, Worthington Biochemicals) was combined with either vehicle or inhibitor contained in 0.2 m Tris buffer $(2.5 \mathrm{ml}, \mathrm{pH}$ 8.0). Following a 30 min incubation period, the reaction was initiated by the addition of succinyl-Ala-Ala-Ala-p-nitroanilide (Calbiochem) $(20 \mu \mathrm{l}, 125 \mathrm{~mm})$. After 15 min , the reaction was quenched by the addition of glacial acetic acid $(100 \mu \mathrm{l})$ and optical density (O.D.) was measured at 410 nm .

Percent inhibition was calculated as follows:

$$
\% \text { inhibition }=\frac{a-b}{a} \times 100 \text { where }
$$

$a=$ O.D. in absence of inhibitor
$b=$ O.D. in presence of inhibitor
The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were then determined from a plot of $\%$ inhibition $v s$. log concentration of the inhibitor.
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